

# Quantum Superposition as Timeless Rotational States: A Novel Interpretation Within Laursian Dimensionality Theory

Ilja Laurs  
ilja@laurs.com

April 17, 2025

## Abstract

This paper presents a novel interpretation of quantum superposition within the framework of Laursian Dimensionality Theory (LDT). We propose that spacetime is better understood as a "2+2" dimensional structure—two rotational spatial dimensions plus two temporal dimensions, one of which manifests as the perceived third spatial dimension. Within this framework, quantum superposition represents timeless rotational states that exist without requiring temporal progression, fundamentally distinct from the time-dependent orbiting processes we observe in macroscopic physics. This perspective resolves the apparent paradox of wave-particle duality by positioning the wave aspect as configurations in timeless rotational dimensions, while particle behavior emerges through coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension during measurement. A critical distinction between intrinsic rotational states and orbiting processes explains the counter-intuitive nature of quantum phenomena, as our cognitive framework struggles to conceptualize rotation without temporal flow. We develop a mathematical formalism for these timeless rotational states and derive specific predictions for interference patterns, decoherence processes, and dimension-dependent entanglement behavior. This framework resolves long-standing interpretational challenges in quantum mechanics through a deeper understanding of the dimensional structure of reality, without requiring observer-dependent collapse, hidden variables, or multiple universes.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum superposition—the ability of quantum systems to exist in multiple states simultaneously until measured—stands as one of the most profound and counterintuitive features of quantum mechanics. Since the pioneering work of Schrödinger, Einstein, Bohr, and others, the interpretation of superposition has generated numerous competing frameworks, from Copenhagen to Many-Worlds to Quantum Decoherence approaches. Despite mathematical agreement, there remains no consensus on what superposition fundamentally represents and why measurement appears to collapse it into definite states.

Recently, Laursian Dimensionality Theory (LDT) has proposed a radical reinterpretation of spacetime as a "2+2" dimensional structure: two rotational spatial dimensions

plus two temporal dimensions, with one of these temporal dimensions typically perceived as the third spatial dimension. This theory emerges from a mathematically equivalent reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence from  $E = mc^2$  to  $Et^2 = md^2$ , where  $c$  is expressed as the ratio of distance ( $d$ ) to time ( $t$ ).

This paper extends LDT by proposing that quantum superposition represents timeless rotational states that exist independent of temporal progression. This perspective fundamentally distinguishes quantum rotational states from macroscopic orbiting processes, which inherently require time to trace paths around central points. The counter-intuitive nature of quantum mechanics arises largely because our cognitive framework, built from experiences with time-dependent orbiting, struggles to conceptualize truly timeless rotational states.

In this framework, wave-particle duality finds natural explanation: the wave aspect of quantum entities represents configurations in the timeless rotational dimensions, while particle behavior emerges through coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension during measurement. This interpretation offers a geometrical understanding of quantum phenomena without requiring observer-dependent collapse, hidden variables, or multiple universes.

## 2 Theoretical Framework

### 2.1 Rotational Dimensions versus Temporal Dimensions

Laursian Dimensionality Theory begins with the reformulation of Einstein's energy-mass relation:

$$E = mc^2 \tag{1}$$

Expressing the speed of light as distance over time:

$$c = \frac{d}{t} \tag{2}$$

Substituting and rearranging:

$$Et^2 = md^2 \tag{3}$$

This mathematically equivalent expression suggests a reinterpretation of spacetime dimensionality, where:

- The  $d^2$  term represents two rotational spatial dimensions ( $\theta, \phi$ )
- The  $t^2$  term encompasses conventional time ( $t$ ) and a second temporal dimension ( $\tau$ ) that we typically perceive as the third spatial dimension

The modified spacetime metric becomes:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 - d\tau^2 + d\theta^2 + d\phi^2 \tag{4}$$

Where we've simplified notation by absorbing dimensional constants.

## 2.2 Timeless Rotational States in Quantum Systems

A critical insight of our framework is that rotational states at the quantum scale exist independent of temporal progression—a concept fundamentally different from macroscopic rotation. In everyday experience, what we observe as "rotation" is actually orbiting, where objects trace time-dependent paths around central points. This inherently requires temporal flow and can be expressed as:

$$\text{Classical orbiting: } \vec{r}(t) = R(\cos(\omega t), \sin(\omega t), z) \quad (5)$$

In contrast, quantum rotational states represent intrinsic configurations in rotational space that exist without requiring temporal evolution:

$$\text{Quantum rotational state: } |\phi(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{\text{timeless}} = \text{function of angular coordinates only} \quad (6)$$

This distinction explains much of quantum mechanics' counter-intuitive nature, as our minds struggle to conceptualize rotation without time. The wave-like properties of quantum entities represent these timeless rotational configurations, which only manifest as particle-like behavior when forced to interact with the temporal dimensions.

## 2.3 Quantum States as Timeless Rotational Configurations

In standard quantum mechanics, a superposition state is expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |\phi_i\rangle \quad (7)$$

Where  $c_i$  are complex amplitudes and  $|\phi_i\rangle$  are basis states.

In our LDT framework, this becomes:

$$|\psi(\theta, \phi, t, \tau)\rangle = \sum_i c_i |\phi_i(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{\text{timeless}} \otimes |t\rangle \otimes |\tau\rangle \quad (8)$$

Where  $|\phi_i(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{\text{timeless}}$  represents timeless configurations in the rotational dimensions, while  $|t\rangle$  and  $|\tau\rangle$  represent the state's minimal coupling to the temporal dimensions. The subscript "timeless" emphasizes that these rotational configurations exist independent of temporal flow, fundamentally different from orbiting processes.

The Schrödinger equation then represents not the intrinsic temporal evolution of quantum states, but rather how timeless rotational configurations project into our temporal experience:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle \quad (9)$$

This equation describes how we perceive timeless rotational states through the lens of conventional temporal progression.

# 3 Superposition as Timeless Rotational Configurations

## 3.1 Coexistence Without Temporal Evolution

In our framework, quantum superposition—the simultaneous existence of multiple configurations—becomes natural when understood as timeless rotational states. Unlike macro-

scopic objects whose states evolve through time, quantum rotational configurations simply exist, without requiring temporal progression to maintain their multiple possibilities.

The probability amplitudes in superposition states represent the relative "weightings" of different rotational configurations:

$$\psi(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \sum_i c_i \phi_i(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}} \chi(t) \xi(\tau) \quad (10)$$

Where  $\chi(t)$  and  $\xi(\tau)$  are temporal components that typically have much narrower distributions than the rotational components. This explains why superposition can persist indefinitely until measurement—the timeless rotational configurations have no inherent temporal evolution forcing them to resolve into a single state.

### 3.2 Weak Temporal Coupling Hypothesis

We propose the Weak Temporal Coupling Hypothesis: rotational states at the quantum scale have either no inherent temporal dependence or extremely weak coupling to the temporal dimensions. The apparent time evolution observed in quantum systems primarily reflects how these timeless states interact with our temporal dimensions rather than representing intrinsic evolution of the rotational configurations themselves.

This can be formalized through a modified density matrix representation:

$$\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |\phi_i(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{\text{timeless}} \langle \phi_i(\theta, \phi)|_{\text{timeless}} \otimes \rho_t \otimes \rho_\tau \quad (11)$$

Where  $\rho_t$  and  $\rho_\tau$  represent the state's minimal manifestation in the temporal dimensions.

### 3.3 Interference Without Time

Interference phenomena—the hallmark of quantum superposition—take on new meaning in this framework. The interference patterns observed in experiments like the double-slit arise from the coexistence of multiple timeless rotational configurations rather than from temporal wave propagation.

For a two-path interference scenario:

$$\psi(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}} + \phi_2(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}}] \chi(t) \xi(\tau) \quad (12)$$

The probability distribution observed on the screen becomes:

$$P(\theta, \phi) = |\phi_1(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}} + \phi_2(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}}|^2 = |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 + 2|\phi_1||\phi_2| \cos(\Delta\varphi) \quad (13)$$

Where  $\Delta\varphi$  is the phase difference between the rotational configurations. This interference pattern forms without requiring time-dependent wave propagation, as the rotational configurations inherently coexist in a timeless manner.

## 4 Measurement and Dimensional Coupling

### 4.1 Measurement as Temporal-Rotational Coupling

In our framework, measurement represents a process that forces timeless rotational configurations to couple strongly with the temporal-spatial dimension. This coupling eliminates the possibility for multiple rotational configurations to coexist independently, resulting in what appears as wavefunction collapse.

Mathematically, the measurement process becomes:

$$|\psi(\theta, \phi, t, \tau)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{measurement}} |\phi_k(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{\text{timeless}} \otimes |t\rangle \otimes |\tau_0\rangle \quad (14)$$

Where  $|\tau_0\rangle$  represents localization in the temporal-spatial dimension. This dimensional transition naturally explains why measurement produces definite outcomes and why these outcomes follow probabilistic patterns based on the squared magnitudes of the probability amplitudes.

### 4.2 Resolution of the Measurement Problem

This approach resolves the measurement problem by eliminating the need for a fundamental discontinuity in physical law. Measurement does not involve mysterious collapse or splitting of reality, but rather represents a natural coupling process between the timeless rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension.

The transition appears discontinuous from our temporal perspective because we experience reality through both temporal dimensions, but the underlying process involves continuous coupling between dimensional components. This doesn't require conscious observers, just interactions that couple strongly enough to the temporal-spatial dimension to force a definite manifestation of the rotational configuration.

### 4.3 Decoherence as Gradual Dimensional Coupling

Quantum decoherence—the process by which quantum systems lose their coherence through environment interaction—can be reinterpreted as the gradual coupling of timeless rotational configurations to the temporal-spatial dimension.

In conventional terms:

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{i,j} \rho_{ij}(0) e^{-\Gamma_{ij}t} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j| \quad (15)$$

In our framework, the decoherence rate  $\Gamma_{ij}$  directly relates to the coupling strength between the rotational configurations and the temporal-spatial dimension:

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \gamma \langle \phi_i(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}} | \hat{V}_{\text{coupling}}(\tau) | \phi_j(\theta, \phi)_{\text{timeless}} \rangle \quad (16)$$

This provides a geometrical interpretation of decoherence as a dimensional coupling process rather than a mysterious collapse or loss of information.

## 5 Quantum Entanglement as Shared Rotational Configuration

### 5.1 Entanglement Without Spatial Connection

Quantum entanglement—the ”spooky action at a distance” that troubled Einstein—finds natural explanation in our framework as shared timeless rotational configurations. Entangled particles do not require a connection through conventional space but instead share a common rotational configuration that transcends spatial separation.

For an entangled state of two particles:

$$|\Psi_{\text{entangled}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B - |1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B) \quad (17)$$

We reinterpret this as:

$$|\Psi_{\text{entangled}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\phi_0(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{A,\text{timeless}} \otimes |\phi_1(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{B,\text{timeless}} - |\phi_1(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{A,\text{timeless}} \otimes |\phi_0(\theta, \phi)\rangle_{B,\text{timeless}}) \otimes \quad (18)$$

This shared timeless rotational configuration explains the instantaneous correlation between measurements without requiring faster-than-light communication. When one particle’s rotational configuration couples to the temporal-spatial dimension through measurement, the entangled particle’s configuration is instantaneously determined, not because of communication through space but because they share the same timeless rotational configuration.

### 5.2 Bell’s Inequality Violations Without Non-Locality

The violations of Bell’s inequalities, which seem to rule out local hidden variable theories, find natural explanation in our framework. The apparently ”non-local” correlations arise not from faster-than-light influences but from the fundamentally timeless and non-local nature of rotational configurations.

In our framework, Bell’s inequality is reinterpreted as placing constraints on theories that fail to account for the timeless rotational nature of quantum states. When rotational configurations are properly understood as existing independent of temporal flow and spatial separation, the observed correlations become natural consequences of the dimensional structure rather than evidence for mysterious non-local influences.

## 6 Experimental Predictions

Our framework makes several distinctive predictions that could potentially distinguish it from conventional interpretations:

### 6.1 Rotational-Temporal Asymmetries

The framework predicts asymmetries in how quantum systems couple to different dimensional components:

1. Quantum coherence should show different sensitivity to perturbations in the rotational dimensions versus the temporal dimensions
2. Interference patterns should display greater robustness against disturbances in the rotational plane compared to perturbations along the perceived third spatial dimension
3. Quantum systems designed to minimize coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension should maintain coherence significantly longer than conventionally expected

## 6.2 Dimension-Dependent Interference

The framework predicts that interference patterns should show specific dependencies on the orientation relative to what we conventionally perceive as the three spatial dimensions:

1. Interference should be more robust in planes corresponding to the two rotational dimensions
2. Interference should show distinctive patterns when the experimental setup is rotated with respect to gravitational fields, which couple strongly to both temporal dimensions
3. Multiple-path interference experiments might reveal signatures of the underlying rotational geometry when analyzed with sufficient precision

## 6.3 Novel Decoherence Behavior

Our model predicts specific patterns of decoherence that depend on how strongly a superposition couples to the temporal-spatial dimension:

1. Decoherence rates should correlate with the degree of separation in the perceived third dimension
2. Systems designed to minimize coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension should maintain quantum coherence longer
3. Decoherence should show directional dependencies that reflect the dimensional asymmetry between rotational and temporal components

## 6.4 Timeless Rotational Signatures

The timeless nature of quantum rotational states suggests several distinctive observational signatures:

1. Ultrafast measurements might reveal a fundamental "graininess" to temporal evolution that represents transitions between timeless rotational states
2. Certain quantum phenomena might show discrete jumps rather than continuous evolution when observed with sufficient temporal resolution
3. Quantum systems isolated from both temporal dimensions should exhibit perfect coherence preservation beyond conventional expectations

## 7 Implications for Quantum Computing

### 7.1 Dimension-Optimized Quantum Gates

Understanding superposition as timeless rotational configurations suggests new approaches to quantum computing:

1. Quantum gates could be designed to minimize coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension, potentially reducing decoherence
2. Encoding information specifically in the rotational configurations might offer advantages for certain algorithms
3. Novel error correction techniques could exploit the dimensional structure to identify and correct errors

### 7.2 Dimensional Isolation Strategies

The framework suggests specific strategies for isolating quantum systems from decoherence:

1. Physical orientation of quantum computing elements might matter in ways not previously considered
2. Gravitational gradients might be exploited or mitigated to control coupling between dimensional components
3. Temporal modulation could be used to enhance isolation from the temporal-spatial dimension

## 8 Discussion

### 8.1 Relationship to Other Interpretations

The LDT interpretation of superposition relates to other quantum interpretations in interesting ways:

1. Like the Copenhagen interpretation, it acknowledges the fundamental role of measurement, but provides a geometric explanation for collapse through dimensional coupling
2. Like Bohmian mechanics, it offers a realist picture, but without introducing hidden variables, instead relying on timeless rotational configurations
3. Like Quantum Decoherence approaches, it explains the emergence of classicality, but through dimensional coupling rather than environmental entanglement alone
4. Like QBism, it acknowledges the role of information, but grounds it in dimensional structure rather than observers' beliefs



## 8.2 Source of Counter-Intuitiveness

Our framework provides insight into why quantum mechanics seems so counter-intuitive. The core challenge lies in our cognitive framework, which is built from macroscopic experiences with orbiting processes that require time. This makes it extremely difficult to conceptualize truly timeless rotational states.

In conventional three-dimensional thinking, rotation immediately implies motion through time—an object cannot "rotate" without changing its state over time. But in the two rotational dimensions of LDT, a rotational state can simply exist as a static configuration in rotational space without necessitating temporal evolution.

This fundamental reconceptualization of rotation as a state rather than a process helps explain many of the seemingly paradoxical aspects of quantum behavior, including superposition, entanglement, and measurement. The apparent weirdness of quantum mechanics may stem not from any inherent mysteriousness in nature, but from our attempts to understand timeless rotational phenomena using cognitive tools developed for time-dependent orbiting processes.

## 8.3 Philosophical Implications

The implications of this framework extend beyond physics into philosophy:

1. The framework suggests that the apparent paradoxes of quantum mechanics arise from our misperception of dimensionality rather than from fundamental mysteries
2. Consciousness need not play any special role in quantum measurement, as measurement simply represents coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension
3. The distinction between possibility and actuality becomes a matter of dimensional manifestation rather than metaphysical state change
4. Time may be less fundamental to reality than conventionally assumed, with timeless rotational configurations representing a more fundamental level of existence

## 9 Conclusion

The interpretation of quantum superposition through the lens of Laursian Dimensionality Theory offers a novel perspective that potentially resolves long-standing puzzles in quantum foundations. By reconceptualizing superposition as timeless rotational configurations that exist independent of temporal progression, we provide a geometric understanding of quantum phenomena without requiring mysterious collapse mechanisms, hidden variables, or multiple universes.

Critical to this framework is the distinction between timeless rotational states at the quantum level and time-dependent orbiting processes in macroscopic experience. This distinction explains the counter-intuitive nature of quantum mechanics, as our cognitive framework struggles to conceptualize rotation without temporal flow.

The apparent wave-particle duality finds natural resolution, with the wave aspect representing timeless configurations in the rotational dimensions and particle behavior emerging through coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension during measurement. Similarly, entanglement and Bell inequality violations become comprehensible as consequences of shared timeless rotational configurations rather than mysterious non-local influences.

This approach offers several advantages: it provides a geometric picture of quantum phenomena without requiring observer-dependent collapse; it explains interference, entanglement, and decoherence through a unified dimensional framework; and it makes distinctive predictions that could potentially be tested experimentally.

While substantial theoretical development and experimental validation remain necessary, this interpretation merits serious consideration as a potential resolution to the interpretational challenges of quantum mechanics. The paradoxes that have troubled physicists since the early 20th century may ultimately find their resolution not in new physical mechanisms but in a deeper understanding of the dimensional structure of reality itself—particularly the timeless nature of rotation at the quantum scale.